

2019 City Auditor's Fraud Hotline Summary Chart of Cases Closed

#	Days Case was Open	Allegation Submitted	Type	Action Taken	Result	# of Corrective Action Recommendations
1	< 30	Information that an apartment building is in poor condition and the property company is not managing the building.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to an Outside Agency with Jurisdiction	Not in Purview of Hotline	
2	< 30	Allegation that City employees inappropriately claimed mileage reimbursement.	Violations or Abuse of City Policy	Conducted an Investigation	Unfounded	
3	30 - 90	Allegation that a City employee received a certification without required certification experience and is being paid ineligible skill pay.	City Payroll Fraud	Conducted an Investigation	Unfounded	
4	< 30	Allegation that a City employee created a hostile work environment.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to City Department	Not in Purview of Hotline	
5	30 - 90	Allegation that a City employee received kickbacks from contractors hired to create City systems.	Kickbacks or Bribery	Conducted an Investigation	Unfounded	
6	30 - 90	Allegation that a vendor was paid in full for services not performed.	City Contract or Vendor Fraud	Conducted an Investigation	Unfounded	
7	< 30	Allegation that a City employee did not use a proper request for proposals process when hiring a vendor.	Violations or Abuse of City Policy	Conducted an Investigation	Unfounded	
8	30 - 90	Allegation that a City employee used taxpayer funds for an event.	Waste or Abuse of City Resources	Conducted an Investigation	Unfounded	
9	30 - 90	Allegation that ongoing street paving is a waste of City funds.	Waste or Abuse of City Resources	Conducted an Investigation	Unfounded	
10	30 - 90	Allegation that a City park is not being maintained.	Waste or Abuse of City Resources	Referred to City Department	N/A*	
11	< 30	Allegation that a local business conducted fraudulent practices and produced false documents.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to City Department	Not in Purview of Hotline	
12	< 30	Complaint that a City department removed a tree but did not fill in the resulting hole.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to City Department	Not in Purview of Hotline	
13	30 - 90	Allegation that the complainant's vehicle was burglarized.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to City Department	Not in Purview of Hotline	
14	< 30	Complaint that a mattress left outside the complainant's residence has not been picked up.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to City Department	Not in Purview of Hotline	

*Not Applicable (N/A) is used for cases where allegations did not rise to a level where a response was warranted from the Department or the Department could not provide a response due to confidentiality.

#	Days Case was Open	Allegation Submitted	Type	Action Taken	Result	# of Corrective Action Recommendations
15	> 90	Allegation that a City employee used City equipment for personal gain.	Waste or Abuse of City Resources	Conducted an Investigation	Unfounded	
16	30 - 90	Allegation that a raffle was conducted on City property that did not benefit a non-profit organization.	Misuse of City Property or Information	Conducted an Investigation	Unfounded	
17	< 30	Allegation that a business took payment for services not rendered.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to an Outside Agency with Jurisdiction	Not in Purview of Hotline	
18	< 30	Allegation that a City department's public counter is not ADA compliant.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to City Department	Not in Purview of Hotline	
19	< 30	Allegation of an unauthorized charge on personal credit card.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to an Outside Agency with Jurisdiction	Not in Purview of Hotline	
20	> 90	Allegation that a City employee claimed time not worked.	City Payroll Fraud	Conducted an Investigation	Unfounded	
21	< 30	Allegation of billing inefficiencies.	Waste or Abuse of City Resources	Conducted an Investigation	Unfounded	
22	< 30	Allegation that ballot materials were a waste of City funds.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to an Outside Agency with Jurisdiction	Not in Purview of Hotline	
23	30 - 90	Allegation that a City employee wrongfully worked overtime in another department.	Violations or Abuse of City Policy	Conducted an Investigation	Unfounded	
24	< 30	Allegation that City employees did not identify themselves to the reporter.	Violations or Abuse of City Policy	Referred to City Department	N/A*	
25	30 - 90	Allegation that a City employee wrongfully worked overtime in another department.	Violations or Abuse of City Policy	Conducted an Investigation	Unfounded	
26	30 - 90	Allegation that a City employee claimed time not worked.	City Payroll Fraud	Conducted an Investigation	Unfounded	
27	< 30	Allegation that a worker's compensation claim was mishandled.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Not Actionable	Not in Purview of Hotline	

*Not Applicable (N/A) is used for cases where allegations did not rise to a level where a response was warranted from the Department or the Department could not provide a response due to confidentiality.

#	Days Case was Open	Allegation Submitted	Type	Action Taken	Result	# of Corrective Action Recommendations
28	< 30	Allegation that a private business falsely advertised.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to an Outside Agency with Jurisdiction	Not in Purview of Hotline	
29	< 30	Allegation of an inefficient use of City resources for a water pipe repair.	Waste or Abuse of City Resources	Referred to City Department	N/A*	
30	< 30	Allegation that City bills were not paid on time, resulting in late fees and vital services not provided.	Waste or Abuse of City Resources	Conducted an Investigation	Unfounded	
31	30 - 90	Allegation of a City employee's conflict of interest and misuse of City property.	Misuse of City Property or Information	Conducted an Investigation	Founded	2
32	< 30	Request for information on where to report a company paying its employees in cash.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to an Outside Agency with Jurisdiction	Not in Purview of Hotline	
33	< 30	Allegation of misuse of financial accounts and improper sharing of citizen contact information.	Violations or Abuse of City Policy	Referred to City Department	N/A*	
34	< 30	Allegation of misuse of financial accounts and improper sharing of citizen contact information.	Violations or Abuse of City Policy	Referred to City Department	N/A*	
35	< 30	Allegation that a school did not secure their parking lot and staff caused property damage to neighboring property.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to an Outside Agency with Jurisdiction	Not in Purview of Hotline	
36	< 30	Allegation that a scammer sent emails and made phone calls and used the names of City employees.	Falsification of City Records	Referred to City Department	N/A*	
37	< 30	Allegation of personal mail opened by others without permission.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to an Outside Agency with Jurisdiction	Not in Purview of Hotline	
38	< 30	Allegation that funds raised in a fundraiser were not remitted to the person for whom the fundraiser was held in benefit.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to an Outside Agency with Jurisdiction	Not in Purview of Hotline	
39	< 30	Allegation that City employees enabled non-City employees to commit illegal activities.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to City Department	Not in Purview of Hotline	
40	30 - 90	Allegation that a City employee accepted cash under the table to perform services using City equipment.	Kickbacks or Bribery	Conducted an Investigation	Unfounded	
41	30 - 90	Allegation that a City employee wasted City time by making other employees drive them to and from meetings.	Waste or Abuse of City Resources	Referred to City Department	N/A*	
42	< 30	Complaint that a citizen wasted water through excessive sprinkler use and created a potential risk for an increase of mosquitos.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to City Department	Not in Purview of Hotline	
43	< 30	Allegation that a Department is severely short staffed and that workplace conditions are unsanitary.	Violations or Abuse of City Policy	Conducted an Investigation	Founded	7

*Not Applicable (N/A) is used for cases where allegations did not rise to a level where a response was warranted from the Department or the Department could not provide a response due to confidentiality.

#	Days Case was Open	Allegation Submitted	Type	Action Taken	Result	# of Corrective Action Recommendations
44	< 30	Complaint of an increase in water rates despite the transfer of excess funds to the City's general fund.	Violations or Abuse of City Policy	Not Actionable	N/A*	
45	< 30	Allegation that another city purchased fraudulent bonds.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Not Actionable	Not in Purview of Hotline	
46	< 30	Allegation that a City employee had a conflict of interest with commercial property developers.	Conflict of Interest	Referred to City Department	N/A*	
47	< 30	Complaint of trash not being picked up by the City.	Waste or Abuse of City Resources	Referred to City Department	N/A*	
48	< 30	Allegation of a fraudulent check bearing the City logo was represented as legitimate.	Falsification of City Records	Conducted an Investigation	Founded	1
49	> 90	Allegation that a City employee used City time for personal tasks.	Waste or Abuse of City Resources	Conducted an Investigation	Founded	1
50	> 90	Allegation that a City employee charged the City for time not worked.	City Payroll Fraud	Conducted an Investigation	Unfounded	
51	> 90	Allegation that a citizen was charged for public pool usage which incorrectly expired after one year.	Violations or Abuse of City Policy	Conducted an Investigation	Unfounded	
52	> 90	Allegation that a local business dumped illegal substances down the storm drain.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to City Department	Not in Purview of Hotline	
53	30 - 90	Allegation that a City employee used a City badge to park illegally.	Waste or Abuse of City Resources	Conducted an Investigation	Unfounded	
54	> 90	Allegation that a City employee claimed invalid overtime.	City Payroll Fraud	Conducted an Investigation	Unfounded	
55	30 - 90	Allegation that a City employee wrongfully charged overtime.	City Payroll Fraud	Conducted an Investigation	Unfounded	
56	> 90	Allegation of a City employee's personal conflict of interest impacting vendor selection.	Conflict of Interest	Conducted an Investigation	Founded	4
57	> 90	Allegation of a City employee's personal conflict of interest impacting vendor selection.	Conflict of Interest	Conducted an Investigation	Founded	Corrective action recommendations covered in case #56

*Not Applicable (N/A) is used for cases where allegations did not rise to a level where a response was warranted from the Department or the Department could not provide a response due to confidentiality.