

2021 City Auditor's Fraud Hotline Summary Chart of Cases Closed

#	Days Case was Open	Allegation Submitted	Tip Type	Action Taken	Result	# of Corrective Action Recommendation
1	> 90	Allegation that a City employee was claiming time not worked.	City Payroll Fraud	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Founded	1
2	> 90	Allegation that a City employee engaged in favoritism of certain employees.	Violations or Abuse of City Policy	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Unfounded Control Weakness - Founded	1
3	> 90	Allegation that a City employee received skill pay despite their job responsibilities not requiring such skill.	City Payroll Fraud	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Founded	1
4	> 90	Allegation that City employees improperly reported hours worked on their time card.	City Payroll Fraud	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Unfounded	
5	> 90	Allegation that a City streetsweeper wasted time while in service.	Waste or Abuse of City Resources	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Unfounded	
6	> 90	Allegation that City employees wasted City time by taking long lunches.	City Payroll Fraud	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Founded	2
7	> 90	Allegation that a City employee was chronically late to work and did not accurately report their hours worked.	City Payroll Fraud	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Unfounded Control Weakness - Founded	1
8	> 90	Allegation that a City contractor was untruthful in financial reporting to the City.	Falsification of City Records	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Founded	9
9	> 90	Allegation that a City contractor misappropriated revenue owed to the City.	Theft of City Cash or City Property	Not Actionable	N/A*	
10	> 90	Allegation that a City employee received a vehicle stipend and continued to use City vehicles while wasting staff time.	Violations or Abuse of City Policy	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Unfounded Control Weakness - Founded	2
11	> 90	Allegation that a City department's overtime assignment process was unfair, unequal, and prone to favoritism.	Violations or Abuse of City Policy	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Unfounded Control Weakness - Founded	2
12	> 90	Allegation that a City employee charged a lot of overtime hours and also allowed other City employees to go home early while inaccurately reporting hours worked.	City Payroll Fraud	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Unfounded	
13	> 90	Allegation that a City employee falsified paperwork to deny a landlord from receiving rent due.	Falsification of City Records	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Unfounded	
14	30 - 90	Allegation that nepotism resulted in unqualified individuals receiving public assistance.	Conflict of Interest	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Unfounded	
15	30 - 90	Allegation that an individual was ineligible to receive public assistance.	Violations or Abuse of City Policy	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Founded	1
16	30 - 90		Violations or Abuse of City Policy	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Founded	
17	< 30	Complaint that a citizen needed public assistance.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to City Department	Not in Purview of Hotline	
18	> 90	Allegation that a residence was inappropriately subdivided to increase rental subsidy income in violation of program policy.	Violations or Abuse of City Policy	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Unfounded	
19	< 30	Complaint that a private parking spot was illegally blocked by furniture.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to City Department	Not in Purview of Hotline	
20	30 - 90	Allegation that a City contractor did not comply with the terms of the agreement.	City Contract or Vendor Fraud	Not Actionable	N/A*	

21	< 30	Allegation that a City contractor falsified federal tax filings and misappropriated federal funding.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to an Outside Agency with Jurisdiction	Not in Purview of Hotline	
22	30 - 90	Allegation that a City vendor billed for work not performed or misreported work performed to the state governing body.	City Contract or Vendor Fraud	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Unfounded	
23	< 30	Allegation that a citizen was at fault for a motor vehicle accident and inappropriately filed a claim against the City for damages sustained.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to City Department	Not in Purview of Hotline	
24	> 90	Allegation that a City employee used favoritism to award City permits, and the permittee then inappropriately subleased the permit to others.	Violations or Abuse of City Policy	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Unfounded	
25	> 90	Allegation that employee improperly coded time worked.	Violations or Abuse of City Policy	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Founded	2
26	30 - 90	Allegation that a business did not pay proper business license fees and did not report revenues earned.	Violations or Abuse of City Policy	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Unfounded	
31	30 - 90		Violations or Abuse of City Policy	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Unfounded	
27	30 - 90	Allegation that the City selectively informed members of the public about a change in City policy.	Violations or Abuse of City Policy	Referred to City Department	N/A*	
28	< 30	Allegations that City employees stole City property, worked in unsafe conditions, and were discriminated against.	Violations or Abuse of City Policy	Not Actionable	N/A*	
29	< 30	Complaint that a member of the public did not properly dispose their trash.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to City Department	Not in Purview of Hotline	
30	< 30	Complaint that a member of the public was illegally dumping and committed vandalism.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to City Department	Not in Purview of Hotline	
32	< 30	Complaint that the complainant was a victim of personal identity theft.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Not Actionable	Not in Purview of Hotline	
33	< 30	Allegation that the City did not properly inform a citizen receiving public assistance of changes to the program.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to City Department	Not in Purview of Hotline	
34	< 30	Allegation that a member of the public received an unknown bill from the City.	Falsification of City Records	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Unfounded	
35	< 30	Complaint that a business illegally evaded state and federal payroll tax.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to an Outside Agency with Jurisdiction	Not in Purview of Hotline	
36	< 30	Complaint that a landlord illegally rented a parking spot to another tenant.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to City Department	Not in Purview of Hotline	
37	30 - 90	Allegation that City employees violated a citizen's fourth amendment rights.	Violations or Abuse of City Policy	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Unfounded	
38	> 90	Allegation that a City vehicle was operating with expired vehicle registration.	Violations or Abuse of City Policy	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Founded	1
39	< 30	Allegation that City funds were improperly used to fund a grant.	Violations or Abuse of City Policy	Not Actionable	N/A*	
40	< 30	Complaint that a City vendor did not provide a refund to a customer.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to an Outside Agency with Jurisdiction	Not in Purview of Hotline	
41	< 30	Complainant withdrew the complaint.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Not Actionable	Not in Purview of Hotline	

42	< 30	Allegation that a City department did not follow City policy.	Violations or Abuse of City Policy	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Unfounded	
43	< 30	Allegation that City employees engaged in an inappropriate relationship in the workplace.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to City Department	Not in Purview of Hotline	

*Not Applicable (N/A) is used for cases where the allegations lacked sufficient information to be investigated, the allegations did not rise to a level where a response was warranted from the City department, or the City department could not provide a response to the allegation due to confidentiality.