

2022 City Auditor's Fraud Hotline Summary Chart of Cases Closed

#	Days Case was Open	Allegation Submitted	Tip Type	Action Taken	Result	# of Corrective Action Recommendation
1	>90	Allegation that a City employee submitted falsified personal records that were relied upon by the City's payroll processing.	Violations or Abuse of City Policy	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Founded	1
2	>90	Allegation that City employees improperly reported hours worked on their time cards.	City Payroll Fraud	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Unfounded Control Weakness - Founded	1
3	30-90	Allegation that a City employee was not awarded skill pay, and the City department promoted unqualified employees.	Violations or Abuse of City Policy	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Unfounded	
4	>90	Allegation that a City department's promotion process was flawed.	Violations or Abuse of City Policy	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Unfounded	
5	30-90	Allegation that a City employee may have a conflict of interest with a City vendor.	Conflict of Interest	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Unfounded	
6	30-90	Allegation that a resident was operating an unlicensed home business which violated City codes.	Violations or Abuse of City Policy	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Unfounded	
7	<30	Allegation that a City department was not providing adequate public services, and a City employee made disparaging comments.	Violations or Abuse of City Policy	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Unfounded	
8	<30	Allegation that a City employee was receiving standby pay without notifying the City department of their availability.	City Payroll Fraud	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Unfounded	
9	<30	Allegation that a resident experienced unauthorized access of and theft from their personal bank account.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to City Department	Not in Purview of Hotline	
10	>90	Allegation that a City employee used a City vehicle for personal use.	Violations or Abuse of City Policy	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Founded	2
11	<30	Complaint that a resident received a collections notice from an unknown entity, and the resident was suspicious of the notice's legitimacy.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Not Actionable	Not in Purview of Hotline	
12	<30	Allegation that a City department did not record receipt of the complainant's payment for fees owed, resulting in additional penalties owed.	Violations or Abuse of City Policy	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Unfounded	
13	<30	Complainant withdrew the complaint.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Not Actionable	Not in Purview of Hotline	
14	<30	Allegation that a member of the public was a victim of theft.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to City Department	Not in Purview of Hotline	
15	30-90	Allegation that a perpetrator committed personal identity theft of others.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to an Outside Agency with Jurisdiction	Not in Purview of Hotline	
16	<30	Complaint that a member of the public was illegally dumping.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to City Department	Not in Purview of Hotline	
17	<30	Allegation that a City department wrongly charged a resident for a past due amount.	Violations or Abuse of City Policy	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Unfounded	
18	<30	Allegation that a member of the public was a victim of theft.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to City Department	Not in Purview of Hotline	
19	<30	Allegation that a business did not provide the services that were paid for by a resident.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to an Outside Agency with Jurisdiction	Not in Purview of Hotline	

20	<30	Allegation that a City employee was conducting non-City business during work hours.	Violations or Abuse of City Policy	Referred to City Department	N/A*	
21	<30	Complaint that a member of the public was a victim of check fraud.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to an Outside Agency with Jurisdiction	Not in Purview of Hotline	
22	30-90	Allegation that a City department did not follow Civil Service policy.	Violations or Abuse of City Policy	Not Actionable	N/A*	
23	<30	Complaint that individuals were littering trash.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to City Department	Not in Purview of Hotline	
24	<30	Complaint that a member of the public was illegally dumping.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to City Department	Not in Purview of Hotline	
25	>90	Allegation that a City employee used improper language and engaged in an inappropriate relationship with another City employee.	Violations or Abuse of City Policy	Referred to City Department	N/A*	
26	<30	Allegation that a non-City government entity had a conflict of interest with a non-City vendor.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to an Outside Agency with Jurisdiction	Not in Purview of Hotline	
27	<30	Complainant withdrew the complaint.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Not Actionable	Not in Purview of Hotline	
28	30-90	Allegation that a City department mismanaged resources.	Waste or Abuse of City Resources	Not Actionable	N/A*	
29	<30	Complaint that City services were inaccessible to the public.	Waste or Abuse of City Resources	Not Actionable	N/A*	
30	<30	Allegation that an individual was not properly notified of a legal action.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to an Outside Agency with Jurisdiction	Not in Purview of Hotline	
31	<30	Complaint that a property is occupied by unauthorized inhabitants.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to City Department	Not in Purview of Hotline	
32	<30	Complainant withdrew the complaint.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Not Actionable	Not in Purview of Hotline	
33	<30	Complaint that trash is accumulating in a City alley.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to City Department	Not in Purview of Hotline	
34	<30	Allegation that members of the public engaged in misconduct.	Violations or Abuse of City Policy	Not Actionable	N/A*	
35	<30	Complainant withdrew the complaint.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Not Actionable	Not in Purview of Hotline	

*Not Applicable (N/A) is used for cases where the allegations lacked sufficient information to be investigated, the allegations did not rise to a level where a response was warranted from the City department, or the City department could not provide a response to the allegation due to confidentiality.