#	Days Case was Open	Allegation Submitted	Tip Type	Action Taken	Result	# of Corrective Action Recommendations
1	>90	Allegation that a City Department had weak cash handling controls.	Waste or Abuse of City Resources	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Founded	9
2	>90	Allegation that a City employee violated City animal adoption policy for personal gain.	Violations or Abuse of City Policy	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Unfounded	
3	>90	Allegation that City employees did not follow the Department's rental relief program policy.	Violations or Abuse of City Policy	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Unfounded	
4	>90	Allegation that a City outdoor space was inaccessible to the public.	Violations or Abuse of City Policy	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Unfounded	
5	>90	Allegation that a City employee improperly reported hours worked on their time cards.	City Payroll Fraud	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Founded	2
6	>90	Allegation that a City vendor was overcharging for services rendered.	City Contract or Vendor Fraud	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Unfounded	
7	>90	Allegation that a resident violated the Section 8 benefit policy.	Violations or Abuse of City Policy	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Unfounded	
8	>90	Allegation that a City employee misused City vehicles.	Misuse of City Property or Information	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Founded	5
9	30-90	Allegation that a City employee misused a City vehicle.	Misuse of City Property or Information	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Founded	1
10	<30	Complaint that individuals were littering trash.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to City Department	Not in Purview of Hotline	
11	30-90	Allegation that an individual lied to a City Department to be eligible for a fee waiver.	Falsification of City Records	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Unfounded	
12	<30	Allegation that City employees were accepting bribes for favors.	Kickbacks or Bribery	Not Actionable	N/A*	
13	<30	Complaint that a City inspection report was inaccurate.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to City Department	Not in Purview of Hotline	
14	<30	Complaint that a City inspection report was inaccurate.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to City Department	Not in Purview of Hotline	
15	>90	Allegation that a City employee mismanaged City funds and resources.	Waste or Abuse of City Resources	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Unfounded	
16	<30	Complainant withdrew their complaint.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Not Actionable	Not in Purview of Hotline	
17	>90	Allegation that a City employee falsified animal shelter records.	Falsification of City Records	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Unfounded	
18	30-90	Complaint that a resident committed public benefit fraud.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to City Department	Not in Purview of Hotline	
19	30-90	Complaint that non-city employees used state funds for personal use.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to an Outside Agency with Jurisdiction	Not in Purview of Hotline	
20	30-90	Complaint that a local business violated fair labor practices.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to an Outside Agency with Jurisdiction	Not in Purview of Hotline	

2023 City Auditor's Fraud Hotline Summary Chart of Cases Closed

21	30-90	Complaint that a resident committed state public benefit fraud.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to an Outside Agency with Jurisdiction	Not in Purview of Hotline	
22	<30	Complaint by a former City employee regarding City retirement benefit policies.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to City Department	Not in Purview of Hotline	
23	30-90	Complaint of issues regarding tax documents.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Not Actionable	N/A*	
24	30-90	Allegation that a resident committed Section 8 benefit fraud against the City.	Theft of City Cash or City Property	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Founded	1
25	30-90	Complaint that an individual solicited donations for an illegitimate organization.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to an Outside Agency with Jurisdiction	Not in Purview of Hotline	
26	30-90	Complaint regarding management's decision to authorize a City employee's telecommuting schedule.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to City Department	Not in Purview of Hotline	
27	<30	Complainant withdrew their complaint.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Not Actionable	Not in Purview of Hotline	
28	<30	Complaint that a resident was being incorrectly charged for City utility services.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to City Department	Not in Purview of Hotline	
29	<30	Complaint that a resident fraudulently obtained City utility services.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to City Department	Not in Purview of Hotline	
30	30-90	Allegation that a City vendor was not in compliance with a City lease agreement.	City Contract or Vendor Fraud	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Unfounded	
31	30-90	Complaint regarding timeliness of City refuse services.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to City Department	Not in Purview of Hotline	
32	30-90	Allegation of a conflict of interest during vendor selection.	Conflict of Interest	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Unfounded	
33	>90	Allegation that a City Department is violating City and state animal adoption	Violations or Abuse of City Policy	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Founded	- 4
34	>90		Violations or Abuse of City Policy	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Founded	
35	<30	Complaint that a member of the public was a victim of check fraud.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to City Department	Not in Purview of Hotline	
36	30-90	Allegation that a City vendor was overcharging residents for parking services.	City Contract or Vendor Fraud	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Unfounded	
37	<30	Complaint regarding a City employee's increase in compensation.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Referred to City Department	Not in Purview of Hotline	
38	>90	Allegation that a City employee violated City policies and misused City vehicles.	Violations or Abuse of City Policy	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Unfounded	
39	<30	Complainant withdrew their complaint.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Not Actionable	Not in Purview of Hotline	
40	<30	Complainant withdrew their complaint.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Not Actionable	Not in Purview of Hotline	
41	<30	Complainant withdrew their complaint.	Not in Purview of City Auditor's Fraud Hotline	Not Actionable	Not in Purview of Hotline	
42	<30	Allegation that City funds were wasted resulting from the untimely completion of a City project.	Waste or Abuse of City Resources	Conducted an Investigation	Allegation - Unfounded	

43	<30	Complainant withdrew their complaint.	Not in Purview of City	Not Actionable	Not in Purview of Hotline	
			Auditor's Fraud Hotline Not in Purview of City	Referred to an Outside Agency		
44	<30	Complaint that a resident committed unemployment benefit fraud.	Auditor's Fraud Hotline	with Jurisdiction	Not in Purview of Hotline	
45	<30	Complaint that a resident was a victim of cyber fraud.	Not in Purview of City	Referred to an Outside Agency	Not in Purview of Hotline	
			Auditor's Fraud Hotline	with Jurisdiction		
46	<30	Complaint that a local business violated state tax revenue code.	Not in Purview of City	Referred to an Outside Agency	Not in Purview of Hotline	
40			Auditor's Fraud Hotline	with Jurisdiction		
47	<30	Complaint that an individual lied to receive employee benefits from a private business.	Not in Purview of City	Referred to an Outside Agency	Not in Purview of Hotline	
47			Auditor's Fraud Hotline	with Jurisdiction		
48	<30	Complaint that a resident was a victim of cyber fraud.	Not in Purview of City	Referred to an Outside Agency	Not in Purview of Hotline	
40			Auditor's Fraud Hotline	with Jurisdiction		
49	<30	Complaint that a resident was a victim of cyber fraud.	Not in Purview of City	Referred to an Outside Agency	Not in Purview of Hotline	
49			Auditor's Fraud Hotline	with Jurisdiction		
50	<30	Complaint that an individual was the victim of public benefit theft.	Not in Purview of City	Referred to an Outside Agency	Not in Purview of Hotline	
50			Auditor's Fraud Hotline	with Jurisdiction		
51	<30	Complainant withdrew their complaint.	Not in Purview of City	Not Actionable	Not in Purview of Hotline	
51			Auditor's Fraud Hotline			
*Not /	Applicable (N/	A) is used for cases where the allegations lacked sufficient information to be inv	restigated, the allegations did	d not rise to a level where a response	e was warranted from the City department, or the City	
department could not provide a response to the allegation due to confidentiality.						
		······································				